

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,860	05/28/2002	Stig Willner	CU-2691 RJS	6710
26530	7590 11/15/2004 ,		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY LLP 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			PATEL, NIHIR B	
SUITE 1200	MICHIGAN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60604		3743	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11 / /				
Advisory Action	10/009,860	WILLNER ET AL.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	i				
	Nihir Patel	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 08.24.2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the same of this application in the same of the same	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no</li> </ul>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se I36(a) and the appropriate of Fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under s set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) \( \sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Applicant's arugments filed on August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2004 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Willner fails to disclose the use of iliac rools as claimed. However, Willner does disclose rolls (see at least # 28), which are located at a region of the abdomen on top of the pelvis bone. Therefore, the straps of Willner are iliac rools, as defined by the claims, because they are positioned at the top of the pelvis bone (near the abdomen area).

Henry Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700